

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,187 07/03/2003		Ren Hong Wang	0275Y-361COC	6515
27572 7:	590 02/14/2005		EXAM	INER
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			TAMAI, KARL I	
P.O. BOX 828				D + DVD + W D + DVD
BLOOMFIELD HILLS ML 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/613,187	WANG, REN HONG			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tamai IE Karl	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED <u>1/12/05</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
. Me reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying the issues for			
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		. Aims alv. Eiland ann an dua an Alainea			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendment canceling			
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \( \subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17,19,20,23-26 and 28-39</u> . Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-13,21 and 22.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
13.  Other:	KADI TAMAN	No(s).			

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tamai IE Karl Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2834 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The coils beginning and ending commutation at the same angular position requires further search and consideration. The amendment to the specification requires further consideration regarding the 112 first paragraph rejection and for new matter.